21

THE COURT: Baigmohammadi. Thank you.

MR. BAIGMOHAMMADI: Got it.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I will also note for the record that

Mr. Saintillus is present in court via the Zoom platform.

10 Mr. Stefanki.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

19

23

25

MR. STEFANK: No, Your Honor. It -- the only thing
I'd ask is maybe if the courtroom deputy can confirm that he
can hear and see you on behalf of Mr. Saintillus.

14 THE COURT: All right. Courtroom deputy, can you 15 hear and see us, assuming Mr. Saintillus won't respond?

JAIL STAFF: Yes, Your Honor, we can see and hear you clearly.

18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

JAIL STAFF: He's also --

20 THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't understand. I didn't 21 hear that.

22 THE COURT: I know you couldn't hear him. Give me

just a second.

24 Did Mr. Saintillus say something?

JAIL STAFF: No, Your Honor, that was my partner. He

proceeding could be used against you in a future proceeding. All right. The Court is in receipt of a supplemental pretrial services report. And I've also had an opportunity

23

24

25

- 1 over the last two days to look at the prior reports. And like
- 2 | I said, I did look at a transcript from a prior proceeding.
- 3 Mr. Stefanki, have you received the April 23, 2021 report?
- 4 Ms. Sepeda, is that the most current report?
- 5 PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Good afternoon, Your
- 6 | Honor. Yes, that's the most current report.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Stefanki, have you
- 8 | received that April report? And if so, what is the
- 9 | government's position on the bail review at this time?
- 10 MR. STEFANK: I have received that report, Your
- 11 | Honor, thank you. And for the reasons set forth in that report
- 12 and in the government's written opposition, the government does
- 13 | believe that detention is appropriate in this case on both
- 14 prongs of the detention analysis.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 16 All right. Mr. Baigmohammadi, have you received a copy of
- 17 | the April 23rd report, and have you had an opportunity to go
- 18 over it with your client?
- MR. BAIGMOHAMMADI: I have received a copy of the
- 20 report, Your Honor. But --
- 21 THE COURT: And I have to -- I just don't see a
- 22 | sufficient change of circumstances here to warrant releasing
- 23 Mr. Saintillus. I'm -- I have to concur with the reasonings
- 24 given in the pretrial services report.
- 25 With that said, do you wish to address the Court at this

time, sir?

2 MR. BAIGMOHAMMADI: Yes, please, Your Honor.

So, I'll try and go right to the heart of the issue and address the concerns.

So, some of the major concerns, the first one I saw was a -- you know, a concern about family support, and whether Mr. Saintillus had the support of his family. And that was largely based upon, you know, statements made by his sister to the pretrial officer originally. And as we've clarified with his sister, a lot of her statements were based upon the instant allegations, and also just misunderstandings that she had.

The strength, I think, of Mr. Saintillus' family support is evidenced by the fact that she, the sister, Ms. Morgan, is willing to sign an unsecured bond in the amount of \$30,000 on his behalf. That would be nearly half of her yearly income. I think that speaks to the fact that she does support her brother strongly.

The additional thing that I think is evidence of her -- of family support is that the mother -- his mother,

Mr. Saintillus' mother, is willing to have Mr. Saintillus live in her home. As she mentioned to pretrial services, this is a three-bedroom home, mother is okay with location monitoring, and there are no guns there.

And that kind of segues into another concern that was brought up by pretrial, and I believe -- I'm sure the Court has

a concern about, which is these past incidents -- alleged incidents at the mother's home.

You know, the incidents are just that, they're allegations. You know, the alleged 2017 incident with the girlfriend was a no file, there was no charge as a result of that. The 2020 incident that alleges a physical altercation with a brother was charged down to a pending misdemeanor resisting arrest. And the 2020 felon in possession case is also pending.

You know, I think that the biggest factor here weighing against the idea that Mr. Saintillus was out of control at his mother's house is that she's welcoming him back into the home. That seems inconsistent with somebody who doesn't believe that their son can control themselves in the home.

I think the other major concern that I'm imagining the Court has that's mentioned in the reports is Mr. Saintillus' past conduct in the court and under supervision.

The government points to this 2013 incident in court.

That's also alleged. I mean, this incident occurred eight years ago allegedly. The dated nature of -- respectfully, I believe the dated nature of the incident shouldn't be given much weight.

You know, there is the identity hearing -- the past identity hearing, which the Court has mentioned that it's -- already. You know, the truth of the matter is, Mr. Saintillus is upset about being charged in this case, he believes that

he's innocent, and he spoke up about it. I don't respectfully believe that a person's response to criminal charges is indicative of how seriously they would take being out on bond unnecessarily.

The fact that Mr. Saintillus is upset about the case itself doesn't speak to his respect for his sister, that he loves his sister, and that he wouldn't want to hurt her if she signed, you know, a \$30,000 bond on his behalf. This is not just a sister, it's his twin sister, and so he's -- he is very close to her. He does speak to his mother and sister almost on a daily basis. And I've had a chance to speak with the sister, and she does support him very much.

The other concern I think that the Court would have is there are some failures to appear and probation violations. I would note that though the -- the priors that are the predicates that underlie those incidents are for misdemeanors, where generally defendants are not actively supervised. And here we would -- if the Court were to allow Mr. Saintillus out on bond, he would be under active supervision with strict conditions, and that would be different than what has happened in the past.

We are proposing mental health treatment if necessary. We are proposing a curfew or location monitoring. We are proposing an alcohol restriction, drug testing and treatment.

25 These are all conditions that can address the concerns the

1 | Court has in this case.

You know, in addition, I think all those -- that addresses all of the concerns about flight.

You know, when it comes to whether Mr. Saintillus is a danger to the community, I don't believe the government can meet its burden --

7 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait. This is a 8 presumption case, is it not, counsel?

MR. BAIGMOHAMMADI: Yes. But the presumption does -- you know, does not mean that the Court automatically meets its burden -- I'm sorry, that the government meets its burden.

It is presumed that he is a flight risk, but, you know, we present evidence to rebut that then that burden can be overcome.

THE COURT: Right. But that's your burden to overcome, not the government's burden to overcome, correct, counsel?

MR. BAIGMOHAMMADI: It is the government's burden to establish by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Saintillus is a danger, and we have a burden of just producing evidence that he is not a danger, but the government still must meet its burden.

THE COURT: I guess we view the word presumption maybe a little differently.

Perhaps, counsel -- I have to say, the main change of

```
circumstance from prior bail hearings for your client has been
 1
     the -- the $30,000 unsecured bond signed by the sister. Is
 2
     that correct?
 3
 4
               MR. BAIGMOHAMMADI: That is the main change, Your
 5
     Honor.
               THE COURT: Right. Everything -- counsel, I --
 6
 7
     you've done a laudatory job in making your arguments on behalf
     of your client. I hope he does realize that he has very
 8
     experienced and very competent counsel representing him. But
 9
10
     with that said, I'm going to find that the change of
11
     circumstance is not sufficient for me to release
    Mr. Saintillus, and for that -- for the reasons stated in the
12
13
     pretrial services report and the prior reports that have come
14
     to this Court's attention, I'm going to deny the motion for
15
    bail review.
16
         I believe that concludes our business here today. Is there
     anything else, Mr. Stefanki?
17
18
               MR. STEFANK: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
               THE COURT: Mr. -- don't tell -- don't --
19
20
     Mr. Baigmohammadi, is there anything else?
               MR. BAIGMOHAMMADI: Not today, Your Honor.
21
22
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you.
               THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
23
24
                    (Proceedings adjourned, 3:02 p.m.)
25
                                ---000---
```